

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

/P.O. Box 1450
/Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,088	12/29/2000	Douglas E. Love	7000-051	5020	
27820	7590 11/18/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.			BORISSOV, IGOR N		
P.O. BOX 128 CARY, NC 2			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
5.1, 1.2 2.2.2			3629		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Comments	09/751,088	LOVE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Igor Borissov	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 S</u>	eptember 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
	g in the application					
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	29 20 22 22 25 27 20 44 45	47 40 E1 is/oro re	singted			
6) Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 7-11, 13, 15-16, 18-20, 22, 24-28, 30, 32-33, 35-37, 39, 41-45, 47, 49-51 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ologion requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
	•	•	l annlication)			
 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper Not Patent Application (PT				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						



Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-3,5,7-11,13,15,16,18-20,22,24-28;30,32,33,33,39,41-45,47 and 49-51.

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-11, 13-16, 18-20, 22, 24-28 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed method for cost sharing of toll calls does not recite a limitaion in the technological arts. The independently claimed steps of: receiving a request; receiving proposed toll sharing parameters, initiating a toll call, informing the call recipient, receiving a deceision, and apportioning the cost for the toll call, are abstract ideas which can be performed mentally without interaction of a physical structure. The method step: "initiating a toll call" may be understood as merely two people talking over the phone. However, the claimed invention must utilize technology in a non-trivial manner (*Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1665, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001)*).

Claims 18-20, 22, 24-28 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed system for cost sharing of toll calls does not recite a limitation in the technological arts. The independently claimed system does not provide any technical limitations, and only recites the method steps of above-referenced claims 1-3, 5, 7-11 and 13-16. The claimed language: "a system for sharing costs associated with toll calls" may be understood as merely two people talking over the phone. However, the

Art Unit: 3629

claimed invention must utilize technology in a non-trivial manner (Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1665, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001)).

Because the independently claimed invention is directed to an abstract idea which does not recite a limitaion in the technological arts, those claims and claims depending from them, are not permitted under 35 USC 101 as being related to non-statutory subject matter. However, in order to consider those claims in light of the prior art, examiner will assume that those claims recite statutorily permitted subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18-20, 22, 24-28, 30-33, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 18-20, 22, 24-28 and 30-33 are confusing, because these claims claim a system while reciting only method steps.

Also, claim 22 is confusing, because it structured to be dependent from claim 21, which is canceled by the applicant.

Claims 49 and 50 are confusing, because these claims are structured to be dependent from claim 46, which is canceled by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3629

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-11, 13, 15-16, 18-20, 22, 24-28, 30, 32-33, 35-37, 39, 41-45, 47 and 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain et al. (US 6,282,274).

Jain et al. teach a method and system for selectable billing options for single communications account, comprising:

As per claims 1, 18 and 35,

receiving a request for toll sharing from a call initiator (column 4, lines 1-7, 17-25; column 9, lines 31-45);

receiving proposed toll-sharing parameters (column 4, lines 1-7, 17-25; column 9, lines 31-45);

initiating the toll call to a call recipient (column 9, lines 31-45);

quering the recipient database for obtaining the default billing preferences (column 9, lines 45-49);

apportioning the costs for the toll call between the parties based on the toll sharing parameters (column 9, lines 31-49).

Jain et al. do not specifically teach that obtaining the recipient's default billing preferences includes obtaining a decision from the call recipient as to whether to accept the toll sharing parameters.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jain et al. to include that obtaining the recipient's default

Art Unit: 3629

billing preferences includes obtaining a decision from the call recipient as to whether to accept the toll sharing parameters, because it appears that the claimed features do not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art, and the teachings of Jain et al. would perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with either specifying recipient's decision, or not.

As per claims 2-3, 5, 7, 19-20, 22, 24, 36-37, 39 and 41,

Generating billing information for each call participants (column 9, lines 31-49).

As per claims 13, 15-16, 30, 32-33, 47 and 49-50,

facilitating interaction with the call originator to provide the toll sharing parameters for the call (column 4, lines 1-7, 17-25).

As per claims 8-9, 25-26 and 42-43, said method and system, wherein the toll sharing parameters are defined to apply to any toll calls occurring within a defined period of time (column 9, lines 31-49).

As per claims 10, 27 and 44, said method and system, wherein the toll sharing parameters are defined to apply to at least one portion of the toll call (column 4, lines 1-7, 17-25).

As per claims 11, 28 and 45, said method and system, wherein the toll sharing parameters are defined to apply to at least one portion of the toll call having a defined length (column 4, lines 1-7, 17-25).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3629

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3629

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703):305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308- 2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

I B

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

mil